

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,360	02/10/2004	Liang-Sheng Liao	87450RLO	1223
Pamela R. Cro	7590 10/14/200 eker	EXAMINER		
Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street			LIN, JAMES	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/775,360	LIAO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jimmy Lin	1792	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIE	CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (wi for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1. periods:	s: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the th appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request 114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the 	
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	y Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ILY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	LET CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPET WAS FILED WITHIN TW
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,138(a). The date on while have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office later than it may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	n and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee and statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pri They raise new issues that would require further consider They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 	
 They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or 	m for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corres NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	ponding number of finally rejected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Se	e attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	_
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).	le if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) with how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before	re or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered cient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Noti entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overco showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and vertically a sufficient reasons. 	me all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the	e status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO: 13. Other:	SB/08) Paper No(s)
/Timothy H Meeks/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792	/Jimmy Lin/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792
	•

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues on pg. 4 that Liao teaches in paragraph [0004] that the formation of Mg alloy cathodes requires two separate evaporation sources and point out that a single source would be less complicated but does not teach how to accomplish this. However, Liao does make the suggestion to use a single evaporation source over two evaporation sources. It would have been well within the knowledge for one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified a two source system to a one source system. Therefore, the suggestion of Liao would have made it obvious to have placed the evaporation sourcewards round in the control of the control of

Applicant argues that the Mg/Ag or Mg/Al alloys described in Liao cannot be effectively used in a single evaporation source or boat since the difference between vapor pressure for either of these combinations are more than 5 orders of magnitude. Although the placement of such materials would not have been effective, there is no suggestion that it would been inoperable.